

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/811,059	03/26/2004	Bryan Daniel Powrozek	DUR-114	8084
75	90 03/04/2005		EXAMINER	
John R. Benefiel			BUTLER, DOUGLAS C	
Suite 100 B 280 Daines Stre	et		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Birmingham, M			3683	-

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	10/811,059	POWROZEK ET AL.			
. (	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Douglas C. Butler	3683			
Perio	d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	5					
2a)	<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2004</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Dispo	sition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 9-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2 and 6-8 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applic	cation Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	<ul> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical section for a list of the certified copies of the certifi</li></ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2)	nent(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/811,059

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 2. The election requirement between Species A, B and C is withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I (claims 1-19) is acknowledged.
- 4. Claims 20-27 are withdrawn form consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 (b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 3, 10-12, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are no clear antecedent bases in the claims for "said winding wheel" of II+ claim 3, lines 5-6, of claim 10, last line and of claim 18, line 2. Sappears that "said winding wheel" should be changed to --said wind up wheel-- at claim 3, lines 5-6, claim 10, last line and claim 18, line 2 to be consistent with the recitation of "a cable wind up wheel" in claim 1, line 6.

There is no clear antecedent basis in the claims for "said pivot mechanism" of claim 11, last line. It appears that "mechanism" in claim 11, line 3 should be changed to --member-- to be consistent with the recitation in claim 1, line 4 of "a pivot member".

There is no clear antecedent basis for "said pretensioned torsion spring" of claim 10, lines 4-5. It appears that including "pretensioned" between "a" and "torsion developing spring" in claim 10, line 2 would correct the problem of a lack of antecedent basis with respect to claim 10.

Re claim 16, there is no clear antecedent basis for "said tensioning spring" in claim 16, line 2. It appears that "said tensioning spring" in claim 16, line 2 should be changed to --said pretensioned torsion developing spring-- to be consistent with the recitation in claim 3, line 2 which recites "a pretensioned torsion developing spring".

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 4-5, 9, 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bode (6193022).

Bode discloses clutch spring 24, reversible motor 5, cable load sensor 31, cable 3, release 25 for a parking brake.

9. Claims 2, 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,059 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

10. Claims 3, 10-12 and 16-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

605

- 11. Note Tachiiri et al (242) and Hass et al (242).
- 12. The proposed changes to the drawings filed Dec. 27, 2004 appear acceptable.
- 13. INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

#### **Replacement Drawing Sheets**

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin.

### **Annotated Drawing Sheets**

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

#### **Timing of Corrections**



Art Unit: 3683

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Exmr Butler at telephone number 703-308-2575.

DOUGLASIC. BUTLER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Butler/vs March 2, 2005